Accepted for publication in the ApJ
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 05/12/14

arXiv:1612.06166v1 [astro-ph.HE] 19 Dec 2016

ANOMALOUS GALACTIC COSMIC RAYS IN THE FRAMEWORK OF AMS-02

Behrouz Khiali [1], Sadakazu Haino[2], Jie Feng [2,3]

Accepted for publication in the ApJ

ABSTRACT

The cosmic ray energy spectra of protons and helium nuclei, which are the most abundant components of cosmic radiation, exhibit a remarkable hardening at energies above one hundred GeV/nucleon. Recent data from AMS-02 confirms this feature with a higher significance. This data challenges the current models of cosmic ray acceleration in Galactic sources and propagation in the Galaxy. Here, we explain the observed break in the spectra of proton and helium nuclei in light of recent advances of cosmic ray diffusion theories in turbulent astrophysical sources as a result of a transition between different cosmic ray diffusion regimes. We reconstruct the observed cosmic ray spectra using the fact that transition from normal diffusion to superdiffusion changes the efficiency of particle acceleration and causes the change in the spectral index. We find that calculated proton and helium spectra match very well with the data.

Subject headings: cosmic rays, acceleration of particles, anomalous diffusion: superdiffusion, turbulence

1. INTRODUCTION

Cosmic rays (CRs) carry a wealth of information on galactic astrophysics and possibly about new fundamental particle physics. Despite recent broad studies of galactic CR transport (e.g., Tomassetti 2012; Aloisio et al. 2015), there are still difficulties to understand the spectral features of CRs, as well as their origins, acceleration mechanisms, and propagation. These long-standing problems are described in Schlickeiser (2002) and references therein. Recent spectral data from CR detectors (e.g., CREAM, PAMELA) has provided invaluable information for probing the properties of the interstellar medium, understanding Galactic magnetic fields and searching for dark matter (Bergstrom 2012).

Data from the ATIC-2 (Panov et al. 2009), CREAM (Ahn et al. 2010) and PAMELA (Adriani et al. 2011) experiments indicate that spectral shapes of the protons and helium nuclei cannot be explained by a single power law due to an observed break in the rigidity range of a few hundred GV. This has been confirmed recently by the *Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer*[4] (AMS-02) experiment (Aguilar et al. 2015a,b). Current theoretical models have explained these puzzling spectral features as *source effect* scenarios caused by different acceleration mechanisms (Biermann et al. 2010) or different populations of CR sources (Yuan et al. 2011). Moreover, there are other theoretical attempts to explain the observed upturn in the CR spectra based on the spatial change of CR diffusion properties of several sources in the Galaxy (see Tomassetti 2012; Evoli & Yan 2014; Aloisio et al. 2015).

In this paper, we propose that the observed upturn break in the CR spectra indicates a transition between different CR diffusion regimes close to the acceleration regions in supernova remnants[5] (SNRs), and that this transition substantially changes the efficiency of CR acceleration, causing a break in the power-law distribution of CRs.

Particle acceleration at termination shock in SNRs has been explained in the framework of diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) based on first-order Fermi acceleration, proposed by Fermi (1954). In this mechanism, depending on Larmor radius, particles bounce back and forth across the shock front due to their interactions with magnetic irregularities process and gain energy. However, DSA is not able to explain all energetic particle observations in astrophysical sources and an alternative acceleration mechanism, namely *magnetic reconnection* (see Zank et al. 2015), has been applied for some Galactic and extra-galactic sources to explain the CR acceleration (e.g., Khiali et al. 2015a,b).

Magnetohydrodynamical (MHD) turbulence is ubiquitous in astrophysics and plays a key role in CR acceleration and diffusion. In the presence of turbulence, magnetic field lines diverge and CRs, which follow the magnetic field lines, are scattered by magnetic perturbations.

Assuming a time evolution for the mean square displacement $\langle(\Delta x)^2\rangle$, we have

$$\langle(\Delta x)^2\rangle = 2D_\sigma t^\sigma, \quad (1)$$

where D_σ is a diffusion coefficient[6] with dimensions $[D_\sigma] = (\text{length})^2/(\text{time})^\sigma$. Using different values of σ, we can characterize the particle motion in different regimes. In the case $0 < \sigma < 1$, the particle motion is in subdiffusion regime; when $\sigma = 1$, the normal (Markovian) diffusion dominates the particle motion; for $1 < \sigma < 2$ the regime of particle motion is superdiffusion; and in the case of $\sigma = 2$, particles are moving ballistically or

behrouz.khiali@cern.ch
[1] National Central University (NCU), ChungLi, Tao Yuan, 32054, Taiwan
[2] Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei 11529, Taiwan
[3] School of Physics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

[4] AMS-02 is a space-borne high energy particle detector installed on the International Space Station (ISS). Its purpose is to perform accurate, high statistics, long duration measurements of the spectra of energetic (up to multi-TeV) primary charged cosmic rays (Aguilar et al. 2013).

[5] The Galactic CR spectrum in the energies above ∼10 GeV/nucleon is thought to be accelerated in SNRs (Tomassetti 2012).

[6] Zimbardo & Perri (2013) have derived an expression for D_σ in the superdiffusive regime of CR propagation.

free streaming (Shalchi 2009).

In most cases in astrophysical turbulent plasmas, the particle motion falls into the normal diffusion ($\sigma = 1$) regime, characterized by Gaussian statistics. However, it has been demonstrated that in a few cases, CR transport can be in subdiffusion or superdiffusion regimes which are denoted as anomalous diffusion ($\sigma \neq 1$). Recently, Lazarian & Yan (2014) have demonstrated that the divergence of the magnetic field on scales less than the injection scale of the turbulence induces superdiffusion of cosmic rays (CRs) in the direction perpendicular to the mean magnetic field. The possibility of CR acceleration at interplanetary shocks in the regime of superdiffusive transport also has been shown by Perri & Zimbardo (2007, 2008, 2009a,b). The effect of superdiffusion has also been observed in numerical simulations by Xu & Yan (2013) and Roh et al. (2016) for the particles accelerated by the shock mechanism.

It has been demonstrated that the anomalous diffusion modifies the energy spectral indices predicted by DSA. Particularly, in the case of subdiffusion, the particle spectrum is steeper than in the normal diffusion case (Kirk et al. 1996), while the spectral indices are smaller in the case of superdiffusion (Perri & Zimbardo 2012; Zimbardo & Perri 2013). They showed that the particle spectral indices depend on compression ratio[7] (r) and σ.

Applying this fact, that the transition from normal diffusion to superdiffusion changes the power law indices, we can explain the observed break in proton and helium nuclei spectra. We show that this model is consistent with AMS-02, PAMELA, and CREAM data.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide a brief description of the status of the observed break in proton and helium spectra. We discuss superdiffusive shock acceleration in Section 3. In section 4, we show how the upturn in CR spectra reported by AMS-02, PAMELA and CREAM can be explained using different particle diffusion regimes. Finally, we discuss our results and draw conclusions in Section 5.

2. HARDENING IN PROTON AND HELIUM SPECTRA

Galactic CRs with energies above a few GeV are assumed to be accelerated in supernova remnants (SNRs) based on the pioneering proposal of Baade & Zwicky (1934) with a source spectrum of $Q_S \propto E^{-\gamma}$, namely the spectra before propagation (at injection) in the Milky Way. However, the observed CR spectrum at Earth, namely the spectra after propagation in the interstellar medium (ISM), is steeper due to leakage from the Galaxy (Strong et al. 2007).

The particle acceleration mechanisms are not fully understood yet. However, it is believed that in the ISM, the particles are accelerated by shock waves at SNRs by DSA and injected to the Galaxy with the spectra mentioned above with spectral index (e.g., Hillas 2005):

$$\gamma = \frac{r+2}{r-1}, \tag{2}$$

where $r = V_1/V_2$ is the ratio between upstream (V_1) and downstream (V_2) plasma velocities in the shock frame

[7] The ratio between flow velocities before and after shock which is $1 \leq r \leq 4$.



FIG. 1.— Proton and helium absolute fluxes measured by AMS-02, PAMELA and CREAM above 1 GeV per nucleon.

which is called the *compression ratio* of the shock. According to the shock theory, the maximum value for the compression ratio is $r = 4$. The corresponding spectral index is $\gamma = 2$, which represents the spectral index for the strongest shock in astrophysical sources.

As stressed before, measured CR spectra at Earth are steepened by propagation in the ISM and require a diffusion coefficient $D(E) \propto E^{\delta}$ because interactions with ISM leads to production of secondary nuclei. Therefore, the observed fluxes of primary particles (e.g., protons and helium nuclei) have power-law spectra like $\sim E^{-\gamma-\delta}$ and primary to secondary ratios have spectra like $\sim E^{-\delta}$ (e.g., the B/C spectrum with $\delta \sim 0.3 - 0.6$; Strong & Moskalenko 1998; Evoli et al. 2008; Blasi & Amato 2012). The B/C ratio is a valuable source of information about CR propagation in the galaxy (see Büsching et al. 2005).

Usually, the propagation of Galactic CRs is described by (Berezinskii et al. 1990):

$$\frac{\partial N(\mathbf{r},p,t)}{\partial t} - \nabla(D_{xx}\nabla N) = Q(\mathbf{r},p,t), \tag{3}$$

where $N(\mathbf{r},p,t)$ is the CR density per unit of total particle momentum p at galactic position $\mathbf{r}$. D_{xx} is the spatial diffusion tensor. Cooling mechanisms and nuclear fragmentation are indicated by $Q(\mathbf{r},p,t)$. Obviously, from Eq.3, one can derive the observed CR spectra at the Earth as a single power-law $\propto E^{-\gamma-\delta}$ for energies $\gg 1$ GeV/nucleon, neglecting energy losses and nuclear interactions (Evoli & Yan 2014). However, the measurements by the PAMELA experiment deviate from a single power-law, with a break in proton and helium nuclei spectra (see Fig.1). In the observed proton spectrum, there is a change in slope at ~ 230 GeV, from $\gamma + \delta = 2.85$ to $\gamma + \delta = 2.67$ for higher energies. The measurements of helium nuclei from PAMELA also exhibit a change in slope at ~ 243 GeV, from $\gamma + \delta = 2.76$ to $\gamma + \delta = 2.47$ (Adriani et al. 2011).

Recently, the accurate measurements of proton and helium nuclei by AMS-02 experiment (Aguilar et al. 2015a,b) have improved on the results of previous experiments (see Fig.1). The AMS-02 collaboration reported that the proton flux spectrum has a break at ~ 330 GeV, with $\gamma + \delta = 2.85$ for $E < 330$ GeV and $\gamma + \delta = 2.71$

for 330 GeV < E <∼ 2 TeV. They have also observed a change in the slope of the helium flux at ∼ 245 GeV. According to data reported by AMS-02 collaboration, an upturn is observed for $E > 245$ GeV, from $\gamma + \delta = 2.78$ to $\gamma + \delta = 2.66$.

In order to explain the high energy break in proton and helium nuclei spectra, we assumed that the diffusion coefficient can be approximated as a single power-law, using the value $\delta = 0.35$ from B/C data with $D \propto E^{\delta}$. This value is consistent with the new measurement of the AMS-02 experiment. Recently the AMS-02 collaboration reported their precise measurement of B/C ratio above 65 GV, which can be described by a single power law of $\delta = 0.33 \pm 0.015$ (Aguilar et al. 2016). This value is in agreement with the Kolmogorov turbulence spectrum in the Galactic magnetic field, which predicts $\delta = 1/3$ asymptotically (Kolmogorov 1941). Using current B/C data, the uncertainty of δ is found to be 5%. So, in this experiment the measured values for γ are as below: for the proton $\gamma = 2.52 \pm 0.015$ for $E < 330$ GeV and $\gamma = 2.38 \pm 0.015$ for $E > 330$ GeV and in the case of helium $\gamma = 2.45 \pm 0.015$ for $E < 245$ GeV and $\gamma = 2.33 \pm 0.015$ for $E > 245$ GeV.

As discussed earlier, the value of γ is related to CR injection from the source, and a smaller γ means a more efficient acceleration mechanism injects the particles into the ISM. Thus, since the shock acceleration in SNRs will be more efficient in the presence of superdiffusion (Perri & Zimbardo 2012), these observed spectra tell us that we should expect to have a transition from DSA to superdiffusive shock acceleration. In the following sections, we further explain how to calculate the CR fluxes and demonstrate that the observed CR fluxes can be interpreted by the existence of different CR diffusion regimes in the SNRs.

3. SUPERDIFFUSIVE SHOCK ACCELERATION MODEL

DSA is deemed as a proper particle accelerator at shock waves to describe how the particles can be accelerated in Galactic (e.g., SNRs) and extragalactic sources. However, there are some observational evidences to challenge this mechanism as the main acceleration process for CRs. For the strongest shocks ($r = 4$), the standard spectral index has the value of 2 ($\gamma = 2$, see Eq.2), but some measurements point to harder spectral indices for CR spectra. For instance, observations of Crab Nebula in the range of radio emission indicate a spectral index for relativistic electrons with the value of $\gamma \sim 1.5$ (Hester 2008) and recent precise measurements by AMS-02 (Aguilar et al. 2015a,b), indicate that different spectral indices for proton and helium nuclei are inconsistent with the predictions of DSA, which only depends on shock compression ratio (Eq.2).

As stressed in §.1, recent simulations and theoretical approaches demonstrate the possibility of superdiffusive transport of particle at shocks (Lazarian & Yan 2014). They showed that fast deviations of magnetic field lines from the mean direction of the magnetic field cause superdiffusive transport of CRs at shock waves and make a more efficient CR acceleration mechanism. This is due to substitution of the CR's mean free path for the length scale of *magnetic field entanglement*[8]. These theoretical predictions have been tested numerically by Xu & Yan (2013) in the presence of magnetic turbulence, and they have shown that on scales smaller than the turbulence injection scale, CR propagation is superdiffusive and the superdiffusive process is important for describing the propagation and acceleration of CRs in supernovae shells and shock regions. They found that the superdiffusive transport on small scales can also naturally explain the experimental data in the heliosphere.



FIG. 2.— Spectrum of protons measured by Voyager (black stars,Stone et al. 2013), AMS-02 (black circles, Aguilar et al. 2015a) and CREAM (red circles, Yoon et al. 2011), compared with our calculations (lines). The red line is the spectrum in the ISM, while the blue line is the spectrum near the Earth after solar modulations.

Moreover, the superdiffusive behavior of the solar energetic particles has been argued for based on analysis of particle time profiles (Perri & Zimbardo 2009b). They find that the propagation of energetic particles in interplanetary space is superdiffusive. There are plenty of numerical studies to probe the anomalous transport of CRs, both in directions parallel to the mean magnetic field (Zimbardo et al. 2006; Shalchi & Kourakis 2007) and perpendicular to magnetic field lines (Shalchi & Weinhorst 2009; Ragot 2011; Xu & Yan 2013).

An alternative explanation for the energy spectral index of relativistic particles accelerated at shock fronts has been proposed by Perri & Zimbardo (2012) in the context of superdiffusive shock acceleration (hereafter SSA model). In this model, the values of spectral indices are smaller than the values predicted by DSA (see Eq.2), making it possible to interpret the observed spectral indices for those sources whose indices are smaller than $\gamma \sim 2$ and also for the relativistic accelerated particles in shocks which is given by (Perri & Zimbardo 2012):

$$\gamma = \frac{6}{r-1}\frac{2-\sigma}{3-\sigma} + 1. \quad (4)$$

As we see in Eq.4, the spectral index in the framework of superdiffusive shock acceleration depends on both compression ratio (r) and the regime of diffusion (σ, see Eq. 1). Thus, this model is able to solve the prob-

[8] The entangled magnetic fields are naturally produced in the pre-shocked regions through the interaction of the precursor with density inhomogeneities in the ambient media or can be produced by various instabilities induced by CRs (Lazarian & Yan 2014).



FIG. 3.— Spectrum of helium nuclei measured by Voyager (black stars,Stone et al. 2013), AMS-02 (black circles, Aguilar et al. 2015b) and CREAM (red circles, Yoon et al. 2011), compared with our calculations (lines). The red line is the spectrum in the ISM, while the blue line is the spectrum near the Earth after solar modulations.

lems challenged by observations in DSA theory providing different spectral indices for any ion species which may explain different CR propagation regimes. SSA model has been applied to explain the properties of shocks and CR propagation for a number of interplanetary shock waves from spacecraft observations. For instance, Perri & Zimbardo (2015) found that the acceleration times due to SSA are much shorter than in the DSA model, and shorter than the interplanetary shock lifetimes, as well.

Recently, it has been revealed by Lazarian & Yan (2014) and Perri & Zimbardo (2015) that superdiffusive shock acceleration predicts new acceleration times, which are much shorter than in the DSA model, and also shorter than the interplanetary shock lifetime. The CR acceleration mechanism in the superdiffusive shock is faster because, in this regime, the particles have a larger displacement compare to DSA, as we see by the definition in Eq.1. Therefore, this *scale free-like* CR displacement increases the chance of particles far from shock front to return. In the vicinity of the shock front, possible short displacements of particles (with high probability) increase the chances of particles to cross the shock many times, gaining energy via first-order Fermi process faster in the superdiffusive shock acceleration (Perri & Zimbardo 2015).

As mentioned above, the predicted acceleration time in DSA theory is much longer than the values measured from observations. For instance, assuming the mean free path is equal to particle Larmor radius, it has been shown by Lagage & Cesarsky (1983) that the maximum possible energy gained in the available lifetime at SNRs shocks is $\sim 10^{14}$ eV which is much smaller than the CR energy at the "knee" in the CR spectrum $\sim 5 \times 10^{15}$ eV. For non-relativistic ions in the heliosphere (with energies of $\sim$ a few MeV), which are believed to undergo additional acceleration at interplanetary shocks, the predicted acceleration time is much longer than the shock lifetime. Thus, it seems that the particles are accelerated by a faster shock acceleration mechanism than DSA. Consequently, SSA model is able to provide a solution to overcome the problems of DSA challenged by observations regarding the acceleration time.

4. CALCULATION AND RESULTS

The observed upturn in proton and helium nuclei spectra at $\sim$ 300 Gev means that the particles in this range of energy could be injected with a more efficient CR accelerator in the Galaxy. Recently, it has been demonstrated that particles can be injected by superdiffusive shock acceleration, causing them to gain energy faster than the diffusive shock acceleration and to be injected with smaller spectral index. We assumed a transition from DSA to SSA may occur in SNRs. Thus, according to our proposed model, the measured spectral index for the energies before hardening is related to the DSA, and for the higher energies, the index corresponds to SSA.

Using the data from AMS-02, which is more precise with smaller systematic errors than the other CR experiments for the γ before hardening region, we find the shock compression ratio (r) from Eq.2 for protons and helium nuclei. Then using the measured values of γ in the higher energies, we are able to find σ, the superdiffusion index for each particle species. Thus, using our model and observational data, we can find the superdiffusion index, acceleration time in SSA and superdiffusive coefficient (D_σ)[9]. According to AMS-02 data, injected protons are accelerated by shock acceleration with the compression ratio $r = 3.00 \pm 0.04$ and in the superdiffusive regime, $\sigma = 1.16$. However, in the case of helium nuclei, we found that the compression ratio is $r = 3.10 \pm 0.04$ and $\sigma = 1.15$, which means that the CR helium is produced in the stronger shocks.

TABLE 1
PARAMETERS FOR DSA AND SSA MODELS: PROTON

Regime	E[GeV]	σ	γ	R_{max}[GV]	μ	μ_k
DSA	0.5-330	1	2.50±0.03	50	2.85	3.85
SSA	$330 - 10^4$	1.16	2.35±0.03	6×10^4	2.70	4.2

TABLE 2
PARAMETERS FOR DSA AND SSA MODELS: HELIUM

Regime	E[GeV]	σ	γ	R_{max}[GV]	μ	μ_k
DSA	0.5-245	1	2.42± 0.03	10^2	2.75	3.1
SSA	$245 - 10^4$	1.15	2.30± 0.03	5×10^4	2.65	4.5

To fit the observed data, we use the Zatsepin-Sokolskaya cosmic-ray model (Zatsepin & Sokolskaya 2006). In this model, a superposition of multiple types of sources reconstructs the proton and helium nuclei spectra, which vary with rigidity (R) according to:

$$Q(R) \sim R^{-\gamma} \times \phi(R) \quad (5)$$

where $\phi(R)$ characterizes transition of spectral index from effective acceleration region for each type of sources to the after termination of the effective acceleration. This term is given by:

$$\phi(R) = [1 + (R/R_{max})^2]^{(\mu-\mu_k)/2} \quad (6)$$

[9] For the expressions of acceleration time in SSA and D_σ, see Perri & Zimbardo (2015).



FIG. 4.— The proton to helium ratio (p/He) as a function of rigidity R.

where $\mu = \gamma + \delta$ and μ_k is the spectral index after termination of effective acceleration and R_{max} is the *termination rigidity*. In order to reconstruct the particle spectra, we should convert the rigidity spectra to energy spectra:

$$R = \frac{1}{Z} \times \sqrt{E^2 + 2m_p \times A \times E}, \quad (7)$$

where Z, A and m_p are particle charge, atomic weight and proton mass, respectively. DSA and SSA inject particles with different spectral indices, as would be assumed different sources, so this model can be applicable in our study.

Recent measurements of proton and helium nuclei spectra beyond the heliosphere by Voyager (Stone et al. 2013), have opened a new window for our understanding of the effects of solar modulation. For this purpose, we applied the solar modulation effect to reconstruct the spectra of these data as (Boezio et al. 1997):

$$\mathrm{flux_{mod}(E)} = \mathrm{flux(E + Ze \times \Phi) \times P}, \quad (8)$$

$$P = \frac{E^2 + 2m_p E}{(E + Ze \times \Phi)^2 + 2m_p(E + Ze \times \Phi)}, \quad (9)$$

where Φ is the modulation parameter and e is the electron charge. We assume $\Phi = 550$ MV.

Figure 2 shows our results on reproducing the observed proton spectrum by several CR detectors. In this figure, we show that the AMS-02 and CREAM experiments have observed anomalous CR transport for protons with $E > 330$ GeV. In particular, precise measurements by AMS-02 indicate a transition from diffusive to superdiffusive shock acceleration. We list the parameters used to calculate the fluxes in Tables 1 and 2. The power law indexes, which were left as free parameters, agree with the values measured by AMS-02 experiment mentioned in §. 2.

5. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we argued that a transition from DSA to SSA in SNRs is an acceptable explanation for the recent results of AMS-02, especially the proton and helium hardenings at ~ 300 GV. We proposed that the observed break in proton and helium spectra originates from the source due to different acceleration mechanisms and finally with different injection forms.

There are theoretical explanations for the observed spectral breaks in CRs such as the models that interpret the breaks as a result of CR propagation in the ISM (Blasi & Amato 2012; Tomassetti 2012) while there are other models explaining the mechanisms to produce the breaks at the sources (Zatsepin & Sokolskaya 2006). The latter scenario is widely adopted by some phenomenological works (Feng & Zhang 2016). It has been proposed also that in addition to the Galactic sources, the local sources may affect the CR spectra due to spectral difference between them (Kawanaka et al. 2011).

The spectra of injected particles from the sources are distorted due to solar modulation and CR diffusion issues such as their interactions with ISM and spallation[10] while travelling the path to Earth. However, the spallation of helium is not so effective as to change the spectrum (Vladimirov et al. 2012). The effect of CR interaction with the ISM can be estimated from the B/C ratio, which we have used in this work, in addition to applying solar modulation to reconstruct measured spectra.

Obviously, the precise AMS-02 measurements show that spectral indices of proton and helium are different and the helium index is harder than that of the proton. As discussed widely by Ohira et al. 2016, this evidence can be explained by four different models: propagation, different sources, injection and the inhomogeneous environment. According to the last model, non-uniform environments produce the CR species with different spectra. As we showed in the last section, CR helium is produced in stronger shocks than those of protons. Since in the inner regions of shock, helium is more abundant and the shock is stronger (Ohira et al. 2016), CR helium and proton may originate from different parts of the same source, given significant inhomogeneity.

Both of the proton and helium spectra show a pronounced change of slope around 300 GeV/n, which we interpret as the region where the DSA becomes less important than the SSA. Dependency of diffusion regime on energy is not determined yet, but it has been demonstrated that the divergence of magnetic field lines and particle separation can only exist on scales less than the injection length scale of turbulence for the superdiffusive regime (Lazarian & Yan 2014). However, Zimbardo & Perri (2013) showed that the diffusion coefficient is a function of particle speed, characteristic length scale and σ.

Fig.4 shows that the He/p ratio decreases with a single power law and indicates that solar modulation and interaction of He nuclei with ISM reduces the flux at lower energies. Furthermore, this figure shows that the abundances of the CR helium are larger than the solar abundance (see Lodders 2003).

In addition, this model can be used for recent observed carbon spectrum by the AMS-02 experiment which is similar to that of helium, and for heavier nuclei, which will be provided in the near future. However, in the case of the observed break for the secondaries such as lithium nuclei, this model cannot be used because the secondaries

[10] In the literature, this effect is considered for primary particles like He and heavier primary nuclei, e.g., carbon nuclei.

are not source-dependent and they are produced during propagation of primaries or at the local sources which are far from the scope of this model.

Moreover, in the higher energies, the knee observed in the cosmic hydrogen and helium spectrum by indirect measurements like ARGO-YBJ (Bartoli et al. 2015) at the energy ~ 1 PeV suggests that our model needs additional components. This will be discussed in future papers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been partially supported by research grant from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MoST) of Taiwan (# 105-2112-M-008). We also acknowledge useful discussions with Prof. Shih-Chang Lee (Academia Sinica, Taiwan), Prof. Yuan-Hann Chang (National central University, Taiwan) and Matthew Behlmann (MIT, USA).

REFERENCES

Adriani, O., Barbarino, G. C., Bazilevskaya, G. A., et al. 2011, Science, 332, 69
Aguilar, M., Alberti, G., Alpat, B., et al. 2013, Physical Review Letters, 110, 141102
Aguilar, M., Aisa, D., Alpat, B., et al. 2015a, Physical Review Letters, 114, 171103
Aguilar, M., Aisa, D., Alpat, B., et al. 2015b, Physical Review Letters, 115, 211101
Aguilar, M., Ali Cavasonza, L., Ambrosi, G., et al. 2016, Physical Review Letters, 117, 231102
Ahn, H. S., Allison, P. S., Bagliesi, M. G., et al. 2010, ApJ, 715, 1400
Aloisio, R., Blasi, P., & Serpico, P. D. 2015, A&A, 583, A95
Baade, W., & Zwicky, F. 1934, Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 20, 259
Bartoli, B., Bernardini, P., Bi, X. J., et al. 2015, Phys. Rev. D, 92, 092005
Berezinskii, V. S., Bulanov, S. V., Dogiel, V. A., & Ptuskin, V. S. 1990, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1990, edited by Ginzburg, V.L.,
Bergstrom, L., 2012, AnP, 524, 479
Biermann, P. L., Becker, J. K., Dreyer, J., et al. 2010, ApJ, 725, 184
Blasi, P., & Amato, E. 2012, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys., 1, 011
Boezio, M., Carlson, P., Francke, T., et al. 1997, ApJ, 487, 415
Büsching, I., Kopp, A., Pohl, M., et al. 2005, ApJ, 619, 314
Evoli, C., Gaggero, D., Grasso, D., & Maccione, L. 2008, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys., 10, 018
Evoli, C., & Yan, H. 2014, ApJ, 782, 36
Feng, J., & Zhang, H. 2016, Eur. Phys. J. C, 76, 229
Fermi, E. 1954, ApJ, 119, 1
Hester, J. J. 2008, ARA&A, 46, 127
Hillas, A. M. 2005, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys., 31, R95
Kawanaka, N., Ioka, K., Ohira, Y., & Kashiyama, K. 2011, ApJ, 729, 93
Khiali, B., de Gouveia Dal Pino, E. M. & del Valle, M. V. 2015a, MNRAS, 449, 34
Khiali, B., de Gouveia Dal Pino, E. M. & Sol, H. 2015b, arXiv:1504.07592
Kirk, J. G., Duffy, P., & Gallant, Y. A. 1996, A&A, 314, 1010
Kolmogorov, A. N. 1941, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 30, 301305
Lagage, P. O., & Cesarsky, C. J. 1983, A&A, 125, 249
Lazarian, A., & Yan, H. 2014, ApJ, 784, 38
Lodders, K. 2003, ApJ, 591,1220
Ohira, Y., Kawanaka, N., & Ioka, K. 2016, Phys. Rev. D, 93, 083001
Panov, A. D., Adams, J. H., Ahn, H. S., et al. 2009, Bulletin of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Physics, 73, 564
Perri, S., & Zimbardo, G. 2007, ApJ, 671, L177
Perri, S., & Zimbardo, G. 2008, Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics), 113, A03107
Perri, S., & Zimbardo, G. 2009a, Advances in Space Research, 44, 465
Perri, S., & Zimbardo, G. 2009b, ApJ, 693, L118
Perri, S., & Zimbardo, G. 2012, ApJ, 750, 87
Perri, S., Zimbardo, G., Effenberger, F., & Fichtner, H. 2015, A&A, 578, A2
Perri, S., & Zimbardo, G. 2015, ApJ, 815, 75
Ragot, B., R. 2011, ApJ, 728, 50
Roh, S., Inutsuka, S.-i., & Inoue, T. 2016, Astroparticle Physics, 73, 1
Schlickeiser, R. 2002, in Cosmic Ray Astrophysics, ed. R. Schlickeiser (Berlin: Springer), 519
Shalchi, A., & Kourakis, I. 2007, Physics of Plasmas, 14, 092903
Shalchi, A., & Weinhorst, B. 2009, Adv. Space Res., 43, 1429
Shalchi, A. 2009, Astrophysics and Space Science Library, 362,
Stone, E. C., Cummings, A. C., McDonald, F. B., et al. 2013, Science, 341, 150
Strong, A. W., & Moskalenko, I. V. 1998, ApJ, 509, 212
Strong, A. W., Moskalenko, I. V., & Ptuskin, V. S. 2007, Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, 57, 285
Tomassetti, N. 2012, ApJ, 752, L13
Vladimirov, A. E., Johannesson, G., Moskalenko, I. V., Porter, T. A., 2012, ApJ, 752, 68
Xu, S. & Yan, H., 2013, ApJ, 779, 140
Yoon, Y. S. et al. 2011, ApJ728, 122
Yuan, Q., Zhang, B., & Bi, X. J. 2011, Phys. Rev. D, 84, 043002
Zank, G. P., Hunana, P., Mostafavi, P., et al. 2015, ApJ, 814, 137
Zatsepin, V. I. & Sokolskaya, N. V., 2006, A&A458, 1
Zimbardo, G., Pommois, P., & Veltri, P. 2006, ApJ, 639, L91
Zimbardo, G., & Perri, S. 2013, ApJ, 778, 35